

## INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS

**Brent Stoddard**

### **Mission Statement:**

The mission of the Intergovernmental Programs Department is to develop, represent and advocate the city's legislative policy decisions by consistently and effectively interacting with other governmental and non-governmental entities.

### **Department Description:**

The Intergovernmental Programs Department (IGP) coordinates the city's dealings with federal, state and other local governments and fosters constructive links between the city and these entities. The IGP Department keeps the Mayor and Council informed about intergovernmental issues and often represents the city's interests in these matters. In addition, IGP handles special projects as assigned by the Mayor, Council and city management.

### **FISCAL YEAR 2012**

<b>GOALS</b>	
<b>Goal</b>	Successfully advocate the city's position on issues at the Arizona Legislature, United States Congress and other governmental bodies.
<b>Related Council Goal</b>	One community with high quality services for citizens.
<b>Activities</b>	Work with legislators, the Governor's Office, Board of Supervisors, congressman, other elected officials and local and regional decision making bodies to advocate for and against issues which impact Glendale residents.
<b>Expected Outcomes (Perf. Measures)</b>	Successful implementation of the city's legislative agenda.
<b>Time Commitment</b>	The time commitment for this goal is ongoing.
<b>Expected Challenges</b>	Large state and federal budget deficits.
<b>Goal</b>	Identify opportunities through the state and regional transportation agencies to keep on schedule or to accelerate the design and construction of transportation facilities and services critical to Glendale.
<b>Related Council Goal</b>	One community with high quality services for citizens.
<b>Activities</b>	Actively work with Glendale, state and regional agency staff to ensure that the funding committed for Glendale projects continues. Look for creative strategies to secure funding to accelerate projects and services as appropriate.
<b>Expected Outcomes (Perf. Measures)</b>	Reducing Glendale's financial commitment to projects and moving forward additional projects currently delayed.

<b>Time Commitment</b>	The time commitment for this goal is ongoing.
<b>Expected Challenges</b>	Large Prop. 400 funding deficits.

## FISCAL YEAR 2011

### Area of Innovation:

- Reduced the subscription level for the legislative tracking database saving over \$1,000.
- Instituted policy of double sided printing for large legislative bills, reducing paper usage significantly.

### Accomplishments:

- Successful in getting annexation legislation passed by the state legislature to protect the City of Glendale.
- Successful in getting Maricopa Association of Governments to approve funding for the Northern Traffic Interchange saving Glendale approximately \$10 million and increasing the Loop 101 HOV lane budget by \$9 million to accommodate future construction of HOV ramps at Maryland Avenue.
- Secured a \$150,000 public safety grant from the Governor's Public Safety Stabilization Program (ARRA funding).
- Successfully negotiated new Regional Public Transportation Authority Transit Life Cycle Program Policies that were most beneficial to Glendale totaling \$37 million in services.

GOAL UPDATES	
<b>Goal</b>	Successfully advocate the city's position on issues at the Arizona Legislature, United States Congress and other governmental bodies.
<b>Related Council Goal</b>	One community with high quality services for citizens.
<b>Was the goal met?</b>	Yes.
<b>What were the Performance Measures?</b>	We were successful in getting annexation legislation passed by the state legislature to protect the City of Glendale. We successfully stopped many bills that would have had devastating financial impacts on Glendale. We were successful in protecting the state shared revenue streams from the budget cuts at the legislature.
<b>Obstacles/Challenges</b>	The legislature introduced an overwhelming amount of anti-city legislation.
<b>Goal</b>	Increase federal issues the IGP Department becomes involved with and is actively engaged in at the federal level.
<b>Related Council Goal</b>	One community with high quality services for citizens.
<b>Was the goal met?</b>	Yes.

<b>What were the Performance Measures?</b>	Contacted and met with all of the Congressional offices in the greater metropolitan area. Advocated for Glendale's priorities, resulting in the introduction of federal legislation to assist Glendale.
<b>Obstacles/Challenges</b>	The Congress put a one-year moratorium on earmarks and non discretionary spending.

## FISCAL YEAR 2010

### Area of Innovation:

- The department continued the process of assigning a key liaison for each department, a practice that has provided for more thorough and responsive engagement on issues of potential impact to the city.
- The department is cross training all liaisons in each of the department functions to provide seamless customer support to internal and external customers.
- The department has transitioned to electronic review of legislation preventing the printing of over 1,300 bills that would have totaled several thousand pages.

### Accomplishments:

- The department was successful in bringing in over \$1 million in federal appropriations to the city which advanced several capital projects such as maintaining city infrastructure.
- The department was successful in assisting the Grants Division obtain over \$18 million in federal ARRA stimulus funding.

GOAL UPDATES	
<b>Goal</b>	Successfully advocate the city's position on issues at the legislature, congress and other governmental bodies.
<b>Related Council Goal</b>	One community with high quality services for citizens.
<b>Was the goal met?</b>	Yes.
<b>What were the Performance Measures?</b>	Protecting the core state shared revenue streams at the 15% distribution level even in the midst of a \$3.7 billion statewide budget deficit.
<b>Obstacles/Challenges</b>	The Arizona State Legislature swept the lottery money in LTAF to assist in their budget deficit. Staff is working to get the program and funding restored.
<b>Goal</b>	Educate Glendale residents on the legislative process and encourage their active involvement on issues of importance to the city.
<b>Related Council Goal</b>	One community with high quality services for citizens.
<b>Was the goal met?</b>	Yes, a two session Neighborhood Legislative Link Program was implemented.
<b>What were the Performance Measures?</b>	Positive feedback from residents on the implementation of the program.
<b>Obstacles/Challenges</b>	Some sessions were eliminated due to ongoing budget reductions.



City of Glendale  
Budget Summary by Department

**Intergovt. Programs**

<b>FUND NUMBER / BUDGET BY PROGRAM</b>	<b>FY 2010 Actual</b>	<b>FY 2011 Budget</b>	<b>FY 2011 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2012 Budget</b>	<b>Percent Over FY 2011 Budget</b>
(1000) Intergovernmental Programs	\$710,731	\$721,549	\$721,549	\$686,721	-5%
<b>Total - Intergovt. Programs</b>	<b>\$710,731</b>	<b>\$721,549</b>	<b>\$721,549</b>	<b>\$686,721</b>	<b>-5%</b>

<b>BUDGET BY CATEGORIES OF EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>FY 2010 Actual</b>	<b>FY 2011 Budget</b>	<b>FY 2011 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2012 Budget</b>	<b>Percent Over FY 2011 Budget</b>
Wages/Salaries/Benefits	\$385,594	\$426,547	\$426,547	\$427,410	0%
Supplies and Contracts	\$319,861	\$312,595	\$312,595	\$296,926	-5%
Internal Premiums	\$4,082	\$3,723	\$3,723	\$4,533	22%
Internal Service Charges	\$1,194	\$1,266	\$1,266	\$1,171	-8%
Work Order Credits		(\$22,582)	(\$22,582)	(\$43,319)	92%
<b>Total - Intergovt. Programs</b>	<b>\$710,731</b>	<b>\$721,549</b>	<b>\$721,549</b>	<b>\$686,721</b>	<b>-5%</b>

<b>STAFFING BY PROGRAM</b>	<b>FY 2010 Actual</b>	<b>FY 2011 Budget</b>	<b>FY 2011 Estimate</b>	<b>FY 2012 Budget</b>	<b>Percent Over FY 2011 Budget</b>
(1000) Intergovernmental Programs	4	4	4	4	0%
<b>Total -Intergovt. Programs</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0%</b>